App F IX 4

Previous References

194 4
Municipal

File No 81-43

Serial Nos 38 & 39.

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 2

Part B for June 1944

SUBJECT

Branch on of tenders for constructing cement concrete ovid sewer from the last manhole constructed by M/s Ramachandra Rao Contractor in Sunkal farm upto Koramangala (Tenders by Messrs Coorman Swamy Pillay & Gannon Dunkerley & Co.)

1) The Director of P.H.
2) Chief Engineer in Mysore for roads & buildings
3) Municipal Commr. Bangalore
4) Comptroller to Govt

L. No 1-2364-7 dated 14.6.44

Accept the tenders.

29/7

Letter No C81 1536 D/16 5-44 from the Dr of Health requesting sanction to the acceptance of the tenders of Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co for Part II & Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co for Part I for the construction of cement concrete ovoid sewer from the last manhole in the Sunkal Farm up to the Koramangala Disposal Site in Bangalore an estimated cost of Rs 3,60,000/-

1 The estimate is for Rs 3,60,000/- & it has been divided into two Parts. It is proposed to accept the tenders of Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co for Rs 1,61,513/- for Part II & that of Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co for Rs 1,59,467. for Part I

2 It is stated that the work has been divided into two parts with a view to get through the work in as short a time as possible & to make up the time lost

3 The Chief Engineer for Irrigation may perhaps be consulted in regard to the payment of two sets of rates for a single estimate.

4 It is also stated that Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co has given intimation that the tenders will hold good only for one month from the

Tenders for the Construction of the weir 7262-L

Continuation Sheet ML File No / Current No of 43 Page

date of the tender
Submit for orders
KRS 17/5 I forwarded note compliments to Comptroller for the Entry Department for purveying remarks on the procedure adopted (vide portion marked in red) in getting thro' the work expeditiously and return of the file in three days

(Law seg. leave)

Q.O 332
18-5-1944

Sd/-
Jersey Supt
18/5 Law Supt

CONTROLLER'S OFFICE BANGALORE
1022/Sp
18 MAY 1944
SPECIAL SECTION

1001/Sp 30 s-acc

U O No 412/ AD 1 dated 29-5-44

Returned with compliments

6 More than one tender for the same work may be accepted for administrative convenience and such a thing is not prohibited under the rules out there will be disparity in rates for the same items of works in the two Sections of the same work which requires special sanction of Government

GOV LAW DEPT
12 1 65
GOVT OF MYSORE

M Shamanna
Comptroller

CV

31/5/46

XXX
KRS

7 For the sake of administrative convenience, sanction may be accorded to the acceptance of two tenders for different sections of the same work, received from messrs Commerasamy Pillai & Sons Bangalore, although there is disparity

Tenders for the construction of the ward block

with P.V.D. [illegible] costs, as recommended by the Dirty

of Public Health respectively & Surgeon

Lawson 31-5-44

In part I the difference is

not much the Kumasi company price 1600,40

& Messrs G Kumbeley & Co 1699,67

So the first part may be

given to Messrs Garmon Kumbeley &c

In part II the K price

was 1,675.73

while Messrs G & Kumbeley's was 1,625.35

Thus may be given to the

lowest

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR L S G & P H
Date 3 6 44
No 8440

Minutes 2 5 5

Dawson

Cos

2 8 3 5

from

dtg

6 6 6 4

7 JUN 1944
7796

Dfft for return

12/6/44

Cos 6 44

13/6 [illegible]

L

7262
L

Sulled with a draft letter for appl.

WKS
13/6

Done today

17/6

SL 39 To the Dr of P Health
The Chief Engineer for Roads & Bridges
The Mel Commr, Brisbane Port
The Comptroller
No L 12364-7 of 14-6-66

I sanction the proposal

B 17/6

IM EDIATE AND
CONFIDENTIAL
No C 81-1536
Fn 23 and 2 Copy

Ml 81- 43- 38

7262

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
PUBLIC
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

D I P H H s (M d) C & s (Ed)
(L d) D H (C mb)
D t f H ltl

BUREAU OF MATERNITY CHILD AND OTHER WELFARE WORKS
Vani Vilas Centre Vani Vilas Road,
Bangalore
Mysore (S India) dated May 16, 1944

17 MAY 1944
L S G Br

To

The Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore

Subject - Tenders for the construction of cement concrete ovid sewer from the last manhole constructed by Mr Ramachandra Rao contractor in Sunkal Farm up to Koramangala disposal site

SIR

I have the honour to inform that in the comprehensive Scheme a total of Rs 3,60,000 was provided for the construction of main ovoid sewer up to Koramangala disposal site The contract was given to one Messrs Ramachandra Rao & Bros , and their contract was cancelled as intimated in this office letter No C 81-1501 dated 4th May 1944

Tenders were called for for carrying out the work in as short a time as possible as time has been lost by the irregular work and slow progress shown by the last contractor The Special Officer, Bangalore City Drainage Division called for tenders in two portions Part I and Part II, I enclose herewith the tenders received in this connection

There have been certain points that have to be brought to the notice of Government

A The accountant in his office Note has pointed out that it is irregular to call for quotations in two portions for one single estimate that has been provided for in the comprehensive scheme and that there would be objection from the Comptroller, for getting two sets of rates for a single estimate

This is a question in which certain peculiar situations have arisen

1 that the tenders had to be called for so that the work may be taken on hand as quickly as possible Tenders had to be called for in two sections so that the work may be completed as early as possible to make up the time lost

2 One of the tenderers credited the earnest money deposit and forwarded the challan of the deposit money one day later

3 One of the tenderers Messrs Gannon Dunkerley has given

has given intimation that the tenders will hold good only for one month from the date of the tender

Under the circumstances, as there is necessity for getting through the work as early as possible, I request that you may be so good as to obtain orders of Government approving the tenders of the two lowest tenderers viz , Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co for Part II and Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co , for Part I

A copy of the letter of the Special Officer, Bangalore City Drainage Division and the tenders received are herewith sent

I have the honour tobe,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

O. Ramaswamy

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH

7

Letter No 343 dated 15th May 1944 from the Special Officer, Bangalore City Drainage Division, Bangalore, to the Director of Public Health in Mysore, Bangalore

---

Subject - Tenders for the construction of cement concrete ovoid sewer from the existing manhole in Sunkal Farm up to Koramangala disposal site, Bangalore City

---

I have the honour to submit herewith two comparative statements and the relative tenders in original with all the connected records in connection with the above work The tenders were called for in two parts viz , Part I for the portion from Manhole 60 to 77 up to junction of Hosur Cantonment road and Part II for the portion from Manhole No 77 (junction of Hosur Cantonment road) to disposal site at Koramangala

Part I

Three tenders were received from the marginally noted contractors and the tenders of Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co , for Rs 1,59,467/- happens to be the lowest and the next higher tender is that of Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co , for Rs 1,60,040/- It has to be mentioned that Messrs Gannon Dunkerly & Co , actually credited the required earnest money deposit on the next day after the tenders were presented (Vide their letter No 306/BA enclosed as already reported in this office No 333 dated 4 5 1944 Further the tenderers were notified not to put any time limit for the acceptance of the rates tendered But the contractors have put a condition that their tender may be considered valid only for one month from date i e , 3 5 1944 in their letter No 307/BA enclosed Under the circumstances, it is a point for orders as to whether the tender of Messrs Gannon Dunkerly & Co , which happens to be the lowest may be considered valid and accepted

Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co)
Messrs M Narasimiah & Bros)
Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co)

PART II

Four tenders were received in the case of this work from the marginally noted contractors and the tender of Messrs

Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co , for Rs 1,61,593/- happens

Messrs M Coomaraswamy & Co , Messrs Gannon Dunkerly & Co , Messrs M Narasimiah & Bros Messrs R A Rajagopal & M Shama Rao	to be the lowest and may be kindly recommended for acceptance

3 It has to be mentioned that the estimate for the work of extending the main outfall from Sunkal Farm up to Koramangala tank (12,000) for Rs 3,60,000/- was sanctioned in G O No I 362-64/M1 32-110-169 dated 18th July 1941 and Messrs N Ramachandra Rao and Bros were entrusted with the work and then contract was recommended for cancellation in this office No 228 dated 6 2 1944 addressed to the Director of Public Health in Mysore, Bangalore, for not giving the stipulated progress on the work Now the work is divided into two parts and tenders are called for separately for different p rtions of the same estimate This leads to different rates being accepted for identical items of work in one and the same estimate This is obviously objectionable from the audit point of view and is for consideration and orders

In conclusion, it has to be stated that against the sanctioned estimate of Rs 3,60,000/- ~~and~~, Rs 2,91,900/- only will be for the work portion for the work of constructing the main ovoid sewer as provided in the comprehensive scheme The total outlay to end of March 1944 is Rs 26,429/- and the total of lowest tenders for both portions now submitted for acceptance amount to Rs 3,21,060/- Other works charged to this estimate amount to Rs 7,666/- Thus the excess cost over the estimate will be Rs 50,973/- as noted below

Work portion of the estimate	Rs 2,91,900/-
Total progress to end of March 44	Rs 26,429-1-0
Amount of lowest tender for Part I	Rs 1,59,467-0-0
-do- Part II	Rs 1,61,593-0-0
Total probable outlay	Rs 3,47,489-1-0
Excess over the work portion of the estimate	55,589/-
or	Rs 56,000/- approximately

The estimate for Rs 3,60,000/- will be suitably revised and submitted for sanction after the work is completed This extra cost is proposed to be met out of the general savings under the Comprehensive scheme for Rs 41,25,141/- sanctioned by Government in their order No I 342a-26/M1

M1 90-41-4 dated 1st November 1941 I request that early orders of Government may kindly be obtained and communicated

---

"Co y"

G Cauchemey

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Abd

G R 5 App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

10

Diary No of let er replied to

General and Pevenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

Date of is ue 14/6 194

Signed by

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a Standing Order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the Press ?

(iv) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Section ML

File No 81-43

Serial No 39

Proceedings of the Government of His Highn ss the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—Letter No C81-1536 8/6/44 May 1944 from the Dr of P Health stating that the estimate for Rs 3,60,00 for the construction of cement concrete ovoid sewer from the last manhole in the Sunkal Farm up to the Koramangala Disposal site, has been divided into two parts with a view to carry out the work in as short a time as possible and requesting sanction to the acceptance of the tende

~~Order thereon—No~~

of Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co, for Rs 1,61,513 for part II & that of Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co for Rs 1,59,467 for Part I of the above estimate

Order MOD/12364-7

Govt are pleased to direct that the tender of Messrs M Coomaraswamy Pillai & Co for Rs 1,61,513 for Part II & that of Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co. for Rs 1,59,467 for Part I be accepted, for the sake of administrative convenience, although the rates vary for the same items of work in the two sections of the same work

List of Enclosures

For sanction to Govt

13/6 Sec Dept

To The Dr of Health (W&R)
The Chief Engr for Roads & Buildings
The Mil Comr, Bangalore
The Comptroller

Copied by

Examined by

C O $\frac{28}{61}$

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE

ORDERS	NOTES
	Subject Reg Sanction to the acceptance of Tenders calling for quotations in two portions for the Sewerage Estimate / construction of cement concrete in drains from the foot manhole construction in Sinthal Town up to Hiragangala on Poona road
	Owing to the cancellation of the contract of M/s Ramachandra Rao and Brothers for the above work the action taken by the Special Municipal Drainage Commission may be accepted in calling for quotations and in two parts to carry out the work in as short a time as possible and for getting the work done by two agencies. But regarding the payment of two sets of rates for the same work for the same estimate is not regular
Passed on to Superintendent W&D IV for favour of kind remarks	If approved, this may kindly be passed to W&D IV for opinion
19 5 42	19/5/42
	Why the estimate is split in two parts while calling for tenders has been explained & is stated to be due to the intention of getting the work completed early
	The tenders recommended for approval are only the lowest

In the two portions, but the two are different, if the lowest tenders are to be approved the differences in rates for the same items in the two ~~parts~~ sections are inevitable.

In view of the fact that the work is to be got done expeditiously and the acceptance of lowest tenders would only be favourable to Govt there seems to be no objection to accept the lowest tenders though there would be disparity in rates for the ~~same items~~ identical items in the two sections – Vide also note 3 of Para 112 = 5 of M P W Code in which the P W Executive officers may split up the work into sections + give out the work for execution to different contractors.

19/5

B

* In accordance with Note 3 of Art 112-5 of M P W Code (see last line of Page 25) the P W officers may give out the work to different contractors a number of contracts relating to same work in the interest of public convenience. The Director's proposal for the acceptance of plan the lowest tender of two different contractors may M/S M C P Hayagreeva and G. D and co

19/5

The commitee, we note or not that our wave our opinion now from 71 of Pro part 2 were on [illegible] is denied

22/5

(A & I)

(2) C & A's opinion in regard to part of 2 sets of rates for a single estimate has not been obtained

UO WARD

Draft Reply

Returned with compliments

3) In view of the fact that the work is to be got done expeditiously and the acceptance of lowest tenders may be accepted under Note 3 of Para 112 5 of M P W A Code in which the work may be got split up into sections and may be given to different contractors though there would be disparity in rates for the identical items in the two sections

Comptroller

Subject please see [illegible] at B

22/5

Subject Portions within brackets at A may be deleted and draft approved as amended

Deputy Comptroller

22/5/57

Pages 1-13